Rec'd PCT/PTO 11 MAY 2005
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

60 0 9 EES 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

10/534506

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-120		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International PCT/ES 0	application No. 2/00528	International filing date (day/mon 13.11.2002	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 13.11.2002			
International B60K35/0		oth national classification and IPC	-			
Applicant DELGAD	O ACARRETA, Raul					
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
Thes	se annexes consist of a total	of sheets.				
3. This II III IV V VI VIII	□ Lack of unity of inventorm Reasoned statement citations and explanation Certain documents of Certain defects in the					
Date of submission of the demand		Date	of completion of this report			
27.04.2004		08.1	12.2004			
	mailing address of the internation examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Geu	uss, H phone No. +49 89 2399-7330			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/ES 02/00528

I. B	asis	of t	the	rep	ort
------	------	------	-----	-----	-----

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages	·						
	1-10		as originally filed						
	Clai	ms, Numbers							
	1-16		as originally filed						
	Drav	wings, Sheets							
	1/1		as originally filed						
2.	With lang	regard to the langua uage in which the inte	ige, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).							
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	With	n regard to any nucle mational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the international application in written form.							
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that to in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that t listing has been furn	ent that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence een furnished.						
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/ES 02/00528

5. 🗆	This report been cons	t has been idered to g	estat o bey	olished as rond the d	if (some isclosur	e of) the e as file	ame d (R	endments had not been made, since they have Rule 70.2(c)).	
			_		,			the material to constant to an all among an according	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-16 No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet





Ref. Item V.2

Documents

The following documents cited in the international search report are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: WO 02 03712 A D2: EP-A-0 352 153A D3: WO 01 60650 A

Novelty and Inventive Step

The closest prior art is seen as

a system for displaying information on a first screen connected to a programmable logic control means (driver information system as known in the state of the art, i.e. Mercedes Comand),

which in turn is connected to an electronic device which includes a second screen (i.e. a mobile phone)

via a data input / output means, wherein the first screen displays [...] the same information data as displayed by the second screen.

For this system which has been a usual technical realisation before the priority date, no document has been cited.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known system in that the information is displayed inverted, such that a passenger ... can read the image obtained from the first screen reflected in a windscreen of the vehicle.

Therefore the subject-matter of the present claim 1 fulfills the provisions of Art. 33 (2) PCT (Novelty) in view of the state of the art as mentioned in the search report.



This novelty distinguishing feature is neither known nor rendered obvious by the state of the art documents D1 to D3.

Clarity Objections

The set of claims is not clear since dependent claim 10 which refers to claim 1 defines an equipement terminal as defined in claim 1 whereas Claim 1 applies to a system, Art. 6 PCT.

The same problem rises with dependent claim 14.